

Minutes of the SitV NP Steering Committee Meeting – Monday 24<sup>th</sup> November 2014  
Held in Stanford School at 7.00pm

1.	<p><b>Welcome</b> – Colin Mitchell in the chair  <b>In attendance:</b> JW/ SM/ HW/ JG/PG/SB/RP/ RG/RR</p>	ACTION
	<b>Apologies:</b> None	
	<b>Declarations of interest on any agenda item:</b> - RG	
2.	<b>Agree Minutes of Last Meetings :</b> Minutes from 10 <sup>th</sup> November signed as a true record	
3.	<p><b>Matters arising not on the Agenda:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Peter Lewis had updated the SC e mail list</li> <li>• Chris Marshall has resigned from the committee for personal reasons.</li> </ul>	
4.	<p><b>Village Exhibition and Consultation Review.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 3 week exhibition at the Huddle, the Football Club and the Horse and Jockey was well received and many comments from parishioners were put in the boxes. These to be sent round and put on web site. There was some disappointment that there were only 92 villagers at the meeting.</li> <li>• The meeting went well with good presentations, especially from HW, and it was felt that a lot of information had been communicated to the village.</li> <li>• The actual vote was complicated by the fact the options were written in a different order to how they had been presented, but this was pointed out to people as they came through to vote. It was also explained to us that AN has jumbled them up after taking advice on voting systems.</li> <li>• The results were: - <b>The Count:</b> Site I- 8 (9%); Site H- 49 (54%); Site L- 34 (37%) <b>Site H (49 votes: 54%) wins on the first count with 7 votes more than Sites I and L combined (42 votes: 46%).</b></li> <li>• Possibly the fact there was no alternative site for the football field that could be offered may have influenced the vote.</li> <li>• There followed discussions about individuals thoughts about the meeting and the result.</li> <li>• Still awaiting a final report from ORCC. Results to be posted on the web site.</li> <li>• Thoughts about how Option H could be recommended to the VWHDC in response to the Local Plan. Should we have to have a Strategic Site the following will need to be pointed out :- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Object to having a Strategic Site with reasons</li> <li>➤ The list of things we would want put in place before any development like improved infrastructure, access to site, drainage, cycle routes, and education &amp; leisure facilities.</li> <li>➤ A public consultation had been held and this is the result.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Meet next Monday just to discuss the proposals</li> </ul>	HW & SB
5.	<p><b>Community Land Trust Meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CMit reported on the good meeting he had recently attended. Slides to be sent round.</li> </ul>	CMit
6.	<p><b>Housing Needs Survey</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Still awaiting report from ORCC</li> </ul>	
7.	<p><b>Working Groups Progress Reports</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Working Group 4 – Leisure and Facilities – David Pedder has received 20+ forms back from village organisations and now needs help to analyse the forms. Bill Lawes to be contacted for help.</li> </ul>	RR & JW
8..	<p><b>Site Assessments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ronan at VWHDC had been contacted to find some answers to queries raised at the last meeting to do with the site assessments.</li> <li>• Need to sort out priority and contingency sites in the NP and they need to be more conscriptive than in the SHLAR.</li> </ul>	SB

Minutes of the SitV NP Steering Committee Meeting – Monday 24<sup>th</sup> November 2014  
Held in Stanford School at 7.00pm

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We need to tell planners how we would like to see development within the village and the possibility of low/medium/high density development, although this will be dependent on the site.</li> <li>SB and JG were thanked for all their work on site assessments.</li> </ul>	
9.	<p><b>Sustainability Appraisal</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The draft document was presented and discussed.</li> <li>This includes criteria to rank sites through a sustainability assessment; this will be independent of the site assessments and act as further evidence.</li> <li>The Horsecroft site to be looked at using the sustainability criteria.</li> <li>Planning policies in the Local Plan need to be incorporated in the sustainability appraisal.</li> <li>Sustainability Appraisal to be sent to Tom from ORCC for checking.</li> <li>RG and RP thanked for all their work on this .</li> </ul>	<p>RG</p> <p>RG &amp; RP</p> <p>RG</p>
10.	<p><b>Project Plan</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is a need to know the timescale for getting things to be completed. The present Project Plan still a little complicated.</li> <li>Need to know when site assessments should be complete so that there can be a public consultation to prioritise sites.</li> <li>Project Plan to be revisited and sent round before next meeting.</li> </ul>	<p>PG and JW</p>
11.	<p><b>School</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Desk Top Study: Vale of White Horse School Site Expansion Analysis Report October 2014 has been received by the school. It says that for a 1.5F entry the school could expand on the present site, but for a 2F entry a new school would be needed.</li> <li>Governors had agreed that the report be circulated to the steering committee.</li> </ul>	<p>JW</p>
12.	<p><b>Logo</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It was suggested that the picture of the Church on the cover of the Sustainability Appraisal be added to the present text only logo.</li> </ul>	<p>RG and RR</p>
13.	<p><b>Updates</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Draft Plan</b> – to be discussed at a later date</li> <li><b>Web Site</b> – Updated with information about the Exhibition and Village Meeting There is a new page about the latest consultation. It was agreed to renew the web site domain name for a further 5 years.</li> <li><b>Finance</b> – still awaiting confirmation that grants have been received. Receipts for recent expenses were received.</li> <li><b>Village Issues</b> – The comments from the September consultation still to be looked at.</li> </ul>	<p>RG</p> <p>JW</p>
10.	<p><b>AOB – items for next agenda</b></p> <p><b>Next meetings - Monday 8<sup>th</sup> December and 5<sup>th</sup> January at 7.00pm in the school</b></p>	