

Stanford in the Vale Parish Neighbourhood Plan

Community Consultation

Feedback from Survey No. 1

June 2014

Contents

Part 2 - What things do you want to keep, change, improve and add within our Parish of SITV?	4
What you like and want to Keep	5
What you dislike and want to Change	6
What you believe we Need	7
Part 3 - New Housing Development – Options and Impact	8
Development Options	9
Development Impact	21
Development impact on Primary School	25
Part 4 - What local Services, Facilities and Features are important?	28
Need for Business & Retail Amenities	29
Need for Community Amenities	36
Need for Other Facilities and Amenities	49
Need for various aspects of Nature and Conservation	55
Sports and Leisure Facilities	65
Part 5 - Support for Vision and Objectives	92
Support for Vision	93
Support for Objectives	94



A **Neighbourhood Plan** is a way of helping local communities to influence the planning of the area in which they live and work.

It will carry real weight in future planning matters and can help direct where new homes, shops, offices, leisure facilities, schools and other developments should be built and how they should look.

It must align with national policy and the emerging VoWH Local Plan and can help inform, direct and shape development but not stop it.

Part 2 - What things do you want to keep, change, improve and add within our Parish of SITV?

What you dislike and want to Change



The size of the words is proportional to the number of mentions, so the bigger the words, the more people mentioned them

What you believe we Need




The size of the words is proportional to the number of mentions, so the bigger the words, the more people mentioned them

Part 3 - New Housing Development – Options and Impact

Development Options

It is important to maintain the rural look and feel of the Village and Parish

- 96% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 1% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 3% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	242	86%
Agree	27	10%
Neither	7	3%
Disagree	1	0%
Strongly disagree	2	1%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

It is important to minimise the impact on surrounding countryside and ecology of the Parish

- 94% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 1% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 4% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	216	77%
Agree	49	17%
Neither	11	4%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly disagree	2	1%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

There should be no significant development in Conservation and Conservation Target Areas

- 90% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 4% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 6% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	198	71%
Agree	54	19%
Neither	16	6%
Disagree	8	3%
Strongly disagree	3	1%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

I prefer to see as much new development as possible on pre-developed land (brown field)

- 69% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 15% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 15% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	102	36%
Agree	93	33%
Neither	41	15%
Disagree	22	8%
Strongly disagree	20	7%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Within the village allow only re-generation of brown field sites or very minor infill

- 73% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 12% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 14% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	90	32%
Agree	114	41%
Neither	40	14%
Disagree	24	9%
Strongly disagree	8	3%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

There should be no significant new development within the existing settlement boundary

- 73% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 8% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 18% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	120	43%
Agree	84	30%
Neither	49	18%
Disagree	20	7%
Strongly disagree	3	1%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

I would prefer Stanford to be left alone and an Oxfordshire new town to be created instead

- 57% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 19% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 23% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	115	41%
Agree	43	16%
Neither	65	23%
Disagree	42	15%
Strongly disagree	12	4%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

I support putting some of the proposed 290 new homes on the recreation/football field (but only if better, replacement recreation/football facilities are provided first)

- 47% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 39% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 13% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	47	17%
Agree	85	30%
Neither	37	13%
Disagree	40	14%
Strongly disagree	70	25%
Not answered	1	0%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

I support the VoWH Council proposal for 290 new homes on one big site West of the A417

- 21% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 61% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 17% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	23	8%
Agree	36	13%
Neither	49	17%
Disagree	80	29%
Strongly disagree	89	32%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

We must enhance & support local employment including small business & home-based work

- 85% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 3% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 11% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	117	42%
Agree	120	43%
Neither	32	11%
Disagree	2	1%
Strongly disagree	7	2%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

We should try to influence the aesthetic design of new homes and development

- 85% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 4% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 10% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	145	52%
Agree	92	33%
Neither	28	10%
Disagree	4	1%
Strongly disagree	7	3%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

We should try to influence the energy efficiency of new homes and development

- 86% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 3% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 10% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	148	53%
Agree	93	33%
Neither	29	10%
Disagree	2	1%
Strongly disagree	5	2%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Development Impact

We must avoid making congestion, parking and road safety worse

- 97% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 1% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 1% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	232	83%
Agree	40	14%
Neither	4	1%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly disagree	2	1%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Sufficient infrastructure (sewers, transport, etc.) must be in place before the new homes

- 97% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 1% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 1% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	234	84%
Agree	37	13%
Neither	3	1%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly disagree	3	1%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

We should maximise benefit to the parish from new development (e.g. new/better facilities)

- 81% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 6% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 12% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	141	50%
Agree	87	31%
Neither	33	12%
Disagree	5	2%
Strongly disagree	10	4%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

We should make use of available legal powers to identify and protect local Community Assets

- 89% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 2% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 8% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	168	60%
Agree	83	29%
Neither	23	8%
Disagree	2	1%
Strongly disagree	2	1%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Development impact on Primary School

I would like to see the school stay on the same site if possible

- 57% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 11% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	91	33%
Agree	66	24%
Neither	88	31%
Disagree	21	7%
Strongly disagree	11	4%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

I would like to see a new purpose-built school building on a new site

- 28% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 35% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	33	12%
Agree	44	16%
Neither	98	35%
Disagree	48	17%
Strongly disagree	52	18%
Not answered	5	2%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

School facilities should be available for wider community use

- 62% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this statement
- 14% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this statement
- 24% were neutral in their opinion



Response	No	%
Strongly agree	54	19%
Agree	120	43%
Neither	68	24%
Disagree	29	11%
Strongly disagree	8	3%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%


Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Part 4 - What local Services, Facilities and Features are important?

Need for Business & Retail Amenities

More companies / industry providing more local jobs (e.g. on the White Horse Business Park)

- 62% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 62% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 24% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	53	19%
High need	110	39%
Neither high nor low	86	31%
Low need	15	5%
Very low need	13	5%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Provision of more premises for small businesses and start-ups

- 48% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 15% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 36% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	47	17%
High need	88	31%
Neither high nor low	100	36%
Low need	21	7%
Very low need	21	8%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

A greater range of local shops (e.g. farm shop, delicatessen, greengrocer, florist, etc.)

- 57% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 17% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 27% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	53	19%
High need	102	36%
Neither high nor low	75	27%
Low need	25	9%
Very low need	22	8%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Tea/Coffee Shop

- 46% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 22% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	59	21%
High need	70	25%
Neither high nor low	88	31%
Low need	39	14%
Very low need	21	8%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

A new freehold pub serving the centre and North East side of SITV / Parish

- 36% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 31% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 32% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	38	13%
High need	64	23%
Neither high nor low	90	32%
Low need	44	16%
Very low need	41	15%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

A take-away food outlet (e.g. Fish & Chips, Burgers, Pizzas, Chinese etc. or a combination)

- 29% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 44% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 26% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	28	10%
High need	52	19%
Neither high nor low	72	26%
Low need	66	23%
Very low need	60	21%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Restaurant(s)

- 24% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 36% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 38% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	21	7%
High need	47	17%
Neither high nor low	106	38%
Low need	61	22%
Very low need	39	14%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Need for Community Amenities

Existing household waste disposal site

- 78% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 6% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 16% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	127	45%
High need	91	33%
Neither high nor low	44	16%
Low need	5	2%
Very low need	10	4%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Increased off-road parking (especially in the High Street near the Co-op supermarket)

- 77% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 12% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 11% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	111	40%
High need	105	37%
Neither high nor low	30	11%
Low need	19	7%
Very low need	15	5%
Not answered	0	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

A larger capacity Primary School

- 66% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 11% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 22% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	83	30%
High need	100	36%
Neither high nor low	63	22%
Low need	11	4%
Very low need	20	7%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Allotments (in particular increasing the amount available)

- 59% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 9% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	55	20%
High need	109	39%
Neither high nor low	87	31%
Low need	20	7%
Very low need	7	2%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Parking restrictions in busy areas

- 54% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 23% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 22% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	57	20%
High need	94	34%
Neither high nor low	61	22%
Low need	35	12%
Very low need	31	11%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Health centre or clinic

- 50% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 27% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 22% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	64	23%
High need	75	27%
Neither high nor low	63	22%
Low need	53	19%
Very low need	23	8%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Creche and /or Nursery

- 49% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 15% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 35% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	43	15%
High need	94	34%
Neither high nor low	97	35%
Low need	20	7%
Very low need	23	8%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Greater variety of meeting places for holding village events, for clubs, societies etc.

- 41% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 24% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	36	13%
High need	78	28%
Neither high nor low	94	34%
Low need	47	17%
Very low need	21	7%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Permanent library or book-share location

- 29% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 36% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	18	7%
High need	62	22%
Neither high nor low	96	34%
Low need	68	24%
Very low need	34	12%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Arts or cultural facilities

- 24% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 31% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 43% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	15	5%
High need	54	19%
Neither high nor low	121	43%
Low need	53	19%
Very low need	32	12%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Places of worship

- 23% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 31% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 45% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	30	11%
High need	33	12%
Neither high nor low	127	45%
Low need	43	15%
Very low need	45	16%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Police office or box

- 23% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 39% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 37% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	25	9%
High need	39	14%
Neither high nor low	103	37%
Low need	69	24%
Very low need	42	15%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Parish Council office

- 16% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 49% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	9	3%
High need	35	13%
Neither high nor low	96	34%
Low need	67	24%
Very low need	71	25%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Need for Other Facilities and Amenities

Improved broadband capacity for homes and businesses

- 87% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 2% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 10% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	191	68%
High need	52	19%
Neither high nor low	28	10%
Low need	4	1%
Very low need	2	1%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Improved public transport services to major local towns and surrounding villages

- 83% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 4% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 12% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	155	55%
High need	78	28%
Neither high nor low	33	12%
Low need	4	2%
Very low need	6	2%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Pedestrian crossing for A417

- 83% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 4% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 12% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	153	55%
High need	70	25%
Neither high nor low	27	10%
Low need	11	4%
Very low need	16	6%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Mains gas supply (for whole of village / parish)

- 69% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 7% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 23% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	119	43%
High need	72	26%
Neither high nor low	65	23%
Low need	9	3%
Very low need	11	4%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Public Notice Boards with listing and map of where all Parish facilities are located

- 67% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 8% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 23% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	74	27%
High need	113	40%
Neither high nor low	63	23%
Low need	15	5%
Very low need	9	3%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

More Bus Shelters

- 52% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this facility or amenity
- 9% considered there was a low or very low need for this facility or amenity
- 35% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	49	18%
High need	95	34%
Neither high nor low	97	35%
Low need	21	7%
Very low need	6	2%
Not answered	12	4%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Need for various aspects of Nature and Conservation

Village greens

- 92% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 3% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 5% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	206	74%
High need	50	18%
Neither high nor low	15	5%
Low need	2	1%
Very low need	6	2%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Other green spaces within the village

- 88% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 4% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 8% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	181	65%
High need	63	23%
Neither high nor low	23	8%
Low need	6	2%
Very low need	5	2%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Ponds and Streams

- 85% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 3% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 11% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	160	57%
High need	79	28%
Neither high nor low	31	11%
Low need	6	2%
Very low need	3	1%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Woodlands

- 82% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 4% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 14% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	142	51%
High need	87	31%
Neither high nor low	38	14%
Low need	7	2%
Very low need	5	2%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Wildflower Meadows

- 84% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 3% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 14% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	155	55%
High need	81	29%
Neither high nor low	35	14%
Low need	6	2%
Very low need	2	1%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Farmland and Grazing

- 81% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 4% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 15% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	155	55%
High need	72	26%
Neither high nor low	42	15%
Low need	6	2%
Very low need	4	2%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Nature Reserve(s)

- 77% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 4% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 19% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	139	50%
High need	75	27%
Neither high nor low	53	19%
Low need	9	3%
Very low need	3	1%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Important Views

- 76% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 3% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 20% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	140	50%
High need	72	26%
Neither high nor low	57	20%
Low need	4	1%
Very low need	5	2%
Not answered	2	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Other Habitats

- 63% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 7% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 30% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	100	36%
High need	75	27%
Neither high nor low	85	30%
Low need	11	4%
Very low need	8	3%
Not answered	1	0%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Community Orchard(s)

- 49% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 16% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	72	26%
High need	64	23%
Neither high nor low	95	34%
Low need	29	10%
Very low need	16	6%
Not answered	4	1%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Sports and Leisure Facilities

Football Pitch(es)

- 70% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 6% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 22% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	73	26%
High need	124	44%
Neither high nor low	61	22%
Low need	10	4%
Very low need	7	2%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Tennis Court(s)

- 53% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 13% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 32% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	36	13%
High need	111	40%
Neither high nor low	91	32%
Low need	23	8%
Very low need	14	5%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Cricket Pitch

- 47% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 17% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	31	11%
High need	101	36%
Neither high nor low	96	34%
Low need	31	11%
Very low need	15	6%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Bowling and Croquet green(s)

- 36% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 22% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 40% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	30	11%
High need	69	25%
Neither high nor low	112	40%
Low need	41	14%
Very low need	23	8%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Badminton

- 28% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 27% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 44% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	23	8%
High need	55	20%
Neither high nor low	122	44%
Low need	41	15%
Very low need	35	12%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Netball

- 27% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 29% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 42% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	22	8%
High need	54	19%
Neither high nor low	118	42%
Low need	43	15%
Very low need	38	14%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Rugby Pitch

- 26% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 27% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 46% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	22	8%
High need	50	18%
Neither high nor low	129	46%
Low need	45	16%
Very low need	30	11%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Basketball

- 23% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 32% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 44% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	17	6%
High need	48	17%
Neither high nor low	122	44%
Low need	44	16%
Very low need	45	16%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Fishing

- 18% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 36% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 44% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	15	5%
High need	36	13%
Neither high nor low	123	44%
Low need	52	19%
Very low need	48	17%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Archery

- 13% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 42% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 44% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	10	4%
High need	26	9%
Neither high nor low	123	44%
Low need	62	22%
Very low need	55	20%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Footpaths for walking (incorporating good access for those with limited mobility)

- 88% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 3% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 7% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	151	54%
High need	94	34%
Neither high nor low	20	7%
Low need	6	2%
Very low need	4	1%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Playground

- 73% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 7% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 19% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	94	34%
High need	109	39%
Neither high nor low	54	19%
Low need	10	4%
Very low need	9	3%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Cycle Routes

- 70% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 11% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 17% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	94	33%
High need	103	37%
Neither high nor low	49	17%
Low need	16	6%
Very low need	13	5%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Bridleways

- 67% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 9% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 23% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	95	34%
High need	94	33%
Neither high nor low	65	23%
Low need	10	4%
Very low need	13	5%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

All weather and floodlit area for 5-a-side football, hockey, etc.

- 51% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 16% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	52	19%
High need	89	32%
Neither high nor low	87	31%
Low need	29	10%
Very low need	17	6%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Soft Play Area

- 43% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 21% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	45	16%
High need	76	27%
Neither high nor low	96	34%
Low need	26	9%
Very low need	34	12%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Boating (sailing, kayaking, windsurfing, rowing) making future use of Shellingford Quarry site

- 42% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 26% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	40	14%
High need	78	28%
Neither high nor low	86	31%
Low need	27	10%
Very low need	44	16%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

An outdoor fitness trail with exercise stations

- 39% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 24% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	41	15%
High need	67	24%
Neither high nor low	97	34%
Low need	33	12%
Very low need	33	12%
Not answered	9	3%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Adventure-park facilities

- 37% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 26% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 37% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	38	14%
High need	64	23%
Neither high nor low	101	37%
Low need	33	12%
Very low need	39	14%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Skateboarding/BMX Park

- 36% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 21% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 41% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	32	11%
High need	70	25%
Neither high nor low	115	41%
Low need	25	9%
Very low need	33	12%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Youth Club

- 68% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 9% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 22% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	62	22%
High need	130	46%
Neither high nor low	61	22%
Low need	14	5%
Very low need	10	4%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Scout and Guide Hut or Hall

- 55% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 14% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 29% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	51	18%
High need	104	37%
Neither high nor low	82	29%
Low need	18	6%
Very low need	21	8%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

New multi-purpose clubhouse (perhaps incorporating changing rooms, gym, bar, etc.)

- 46% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 26% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 26% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	46	16%
High need	83	30%
Neither high nor low	73	26%
Low need	34	12%
Very low need	40	14%
Not answered	4	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Indoor Sports Hall

- 38% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 26% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 34% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	33	12%
High need	73	26%
Neither high nor low	95	34%
Low need	31	11%
Very low need	42	15%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Gym

- 22% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 46% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	23	8%
High need	40	14%
Neither high nor low	87	31%
Low need	75	27%
Very low need	52	19%
Not answered	3	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Swimming Pool

- 23% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 45% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 31% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Very high need	24	9%
High need	38	14%
Neither high nor low	88	31%
Low need	62	22%
Very low need	64	23%
Not answered	4	1%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Sauna and Steam Rooms

- 10% of respondents considered there was a high or very high need for this amenity
- 62% considered there was a low or very low need for this amenity
- 26% were neutral in their opinion



Response	No	%
Very high need	7	2%
High need	22	8%
Neither high nor low	72	26%
Low need	69	25%
Very low need	104	37%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%


Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Part 5 - Support for Vision and Objectives

Support for Vision

“Be one of the best places in the South of England in which to Live, Work and Play”

- 84% of respondents generally supported this vision
- 11% did not generally support this vision




Response	No	%
Generally support this vision	235	84%
Do not generally support this vision	31	11%
Not answered	14	5%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Support for Objectives

Identify and provide support for the future needs of our community in respect of housing, business, leisure, and education

- 81% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this objective
- 7% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this objective
- 10% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	142	51%
Agree	85	30%
Neither	28	10%
Disagree	3	1%
Strongly disagree	16	6%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Protect, preserve and enhance important buildings, features and green areas in both the historic and natural environment

- 90% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this objective
- 4% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this objective
- 4% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	215	77%
Agree	38	13%
Neither	10	4%
Disagree	3	1%
Strongly disagree	9	3%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Actively seek prioritised infrastructure improvements

- 90% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this objective
- 5% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this objective
- 3% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	188	67%
Agree	66	23%
Neither	8	3%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly disagree	13	5%
Not answered	5	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Preserve and enhance valuable existing facilities and services and add new ones of benefit to the community

- 83% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this objective
- 7% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this objective
- 8% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	153	55%
Agree	79	28%
Neither	23	8%
Disagree	6	2%
Strongly disagree	13	5%
Not answered	6	2%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Ensure future development sites are appropriate, sustainable and of benefit to our community

- 87% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this objective
- 4% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this objective
- 5% were neutral in their opinion




Response	No	%
Strongly agree	199	71%
Agree	45	16%
Neither	13	5%
Disagree	2	1%
Strongly disagree	9	3%
Not answered	12	4%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding

Support sensitive, limited and proportionate development incorporating good quality and design

- 80% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with this objective
- 8% disagreed or strongly disagreed with this objective
- 9% were neutral in their opinion



Response	No	%
Strongly agree	163	58%
Agree	63	22%
Neither	24	9%
Disagree	9	3%
Strongly disagree	14	5%
Not answered	7	3%
Total	280	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up exactly to 100% due to rounding