Minutes of the Stanford in the Vale NP Steering Committee Meeting

Monday 23 November 2015 – Stanford School at 7.00pm

In attendance — CMit/RR/HW/SM Cllr. P Lewis — Parish Council Apologies - JW/RP/RG 2. Minutes of meeting 9/11/15 were agreed and signed PG/JW 3. Matters arising not on the Agenda • Dropbox — PL to check to see if a box already exists • Project Plan — RG to send round old Project Plan headings (PL to discuss) • SA Chapter 1 — to be sent to PL Comments from Consultation Report heading to be changed to 'Community Consultation on Land Use' throughout the document as it better reflects the subject of the Consultation. 18% response rate seen by ORCC as on the low side but reflects 'hard core' of participants RR to change the tables to show the overall response to each section (with Tom McCulloughs approval) as this better explains the data presented. Each subject to have its own page so that the data tables are not split RR to add an extra column to the responses tables so that the SC can reply to the comments made SC members to take sections for research and response CMit — Burial Grounds RR — School SM — Green Space HW Housing/Live Work PG — Allotments RR — Retail/Business RR to send detail to individuals to be presented in draft form for discussion at the next meeting Skeleton Draft Plan Section headings from Drayton NP used to populate XL spreadsheet by PL Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12	Item		Action
Matters arising not on the Agenda • Dropbox – PL. to check to see if a box already exists • Project Plan – RG to send round old Project Plan headings (PL to discuss) • SA Chapter 1 – to be sent to PL 4. Comments from Consultation Report heading to be changed to 'Community Consultation on Land Use' throughout the document as it better reflects the subject of the Consultation. 18% response rate seen by ORCC as on the low side but reflects 'hard core' of participants RR to change the tables to show the overall response to each section (with Tom McCulloughs approval) as this better explains the data presented. Each subject to have its own page so that the data tables are not split RR to add an extra column to the responses tables so that the SC can reply to the comments made SC members to take sections for research and response CMit — Burial Grounds RR — School SM — Green Space HW Housing/Live Work PG — Allotments RR — Retail/Business RR to send detail to individuals to be presented in draft form for discussion at the next meeting 5. Skeleton Draft Plan Section headings from Drayton NP used to populate XL spreadsheet by PL Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12 PL/RG 5. Any Other Business • School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate • Meeting of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response	1.	In attendance – CMit/RR/HW/SM Cllr. P Lewis – Parish Council	
Proposox – PL to check to see if a box already exists Project Plan – RG to send round old Project Plan headings (PL to discuss) SA Chapter 1 – to be sent to PL Comments from Consultation Report heading to be changed to 'Community Consultation on Land Use' throughout the document as it better reflects the subject of the Consultation. 18% response rate seen by ORCC as on the low side but reflects 'hard core' of participants RR to change the tables to show the overall response to each section (with Tom McCulloughs approval) as this better explains the data presented. Each subject to have its own page so that the data tables are not split RR to add an extra column to the responses tables so that the SC can reply to the comments made SC members to take sections for research and response CMit – Burial Grounds RR – School SM – Green Space HW Housing/Live Work PG – Allotments RR – Retail/Business RR to send detail to individuals to be presented in draft form for discussion at the next meeting Skeleton Draft Plan Section headings from Drayton NP used to populate XL spreadsheet by PL Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12 Any Other Business School Feasibility Study update? – PG to investigate Meeting of Chairs with OCC? – PG to check response	2.	Minutes of meeting 9/11/15 were agreed and signed	PG/JW
Report heading to be changed to 'Community Consultation on Land Use' throughout the document as it better reflects the subject of the Consultation. 18% response rate seen by ORCC as on the low side but reflects 'hard core' of participants RR to change the tables to show the overall response to each section (with Tom McCulloughs approval) as this better explains the data presented. Each subject to have its own page so that the data tables are not split RR to add an extra column to the responses tables so that the SC can reply to the comments made SC members to take sections for research and response CMit – Burial Grounds RR – School SM – Green Space HW Housing/Live Work PG – Allotments RR – Retail/Business RR to send detail to individuals to be presented in draft form for discussion at the next meeting 5. Skeleton Draft Plan Section headings from Drayton NP used to populate XL spreadsheet by PL Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12 Any Other Business School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate Meeting of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response	3.	 Dropbox – PL to check to see if a box already exists Project Plan – RG to send round old Project Plan headings (PL to discuss) 	RG SM
as it better reflects the subject of the Consultation. 18% response rate seen by ORCC as on the low side but reflects 'hard core' of participants RR to change the tables to show the overall response to each section (with Tom McCulloughs approval) as this better explains the data presented. Each subject to have its own page so that the data tables are not split RR to add an extra column to the responses tables so that the SC can reply to the comments made SC members to take sections for research and response CMit – Burial Grounds RR – School SM - Green Space HW Housing/Live Work PG – Allotments RR – Retail/Business RR to send detail to individuals to be presented in draft form for discussion at the next meeting Section headings from Drayton NP used to populate XL spreadsheet by PL Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12 CMit Headings CMit to investigate. Any Other Business School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate Meeting of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response A significant amount of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response	4.	Comments from Consultation	
Section headings from Drayton NP used to populate XL spreadsheet by PL Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12 PL/RG Any Other Business School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate Meeting of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response PG		as it better reflects the subject of the Consultation. 18% response rate seen by ORCC as on the low side but reflects 'hard core' of participants RR to change the tables to show the overall response to each section (with Tom McCulloughs approval) as this better explains the data presented. Each subject to have its own page so that the data tables are not split RR to add an extra column to the responses tables so that the SC can reply to the comments made SC members to take sections for research and response CMit – Burial Grounds RR – School SM - Green Space HW Housing/Live Work PG – Allotments RR – Retail/Business	Tom McC RR CMit/HW/
Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements. PL to contact RG to ascertain his availability for full SC discussion at the meeting on 7/12 PL/RG Any Other Business School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate Meeting of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response PG	5.	Skeleton Draft Plan	
 School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate Meeting of Chairs with OCC? - PG to check response PG		Detailed explanation is not required and a paragraph should suffice for most headings. A significant amount of work has already been done which we will be able to use. CMit to investigate. Headings can be changed/removed to reflect our requirements.	
Next meeting: 7/12 in the School at 7.00pm	6.	 School Feasibility Study update? - PG to investigate 	PG
		Next meeting: 7/12 in the School at 7.00pm	